(Spl. - H.C.A.S. C.D., 78-e)

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

PANAJI – GOA

APPELLATE SIDE

PIL WRIT PETITION NO. 373 OF 2004

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Quorum appearances, Court's orders, or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's Orders.

None for the petitioner.

Mr. A.N.S. Nadkarni, Advocate General with Mr. P.A. Kamat, Govt. Advocate for the respondents.

CORAM : B.H. MARLAPALLE &
N.A. BRITTO, JJ.

DATE : 12TH OCTOBER, 2004.

P.C.

Letter dated 7th August, 2004, purportedly written by one Shri Yeshwant S. Naik, resident of Maikwado, Mardol, Goa, was received by the Registry of this Court and was directed to be registered as a PIL Writ Petition. Accordingly, notices were issued in PIL Writ Petition No. 373 of 2004 by order dated 16.8.2004 .

2. As per the report submitted by the Bailiff from the Court of the Civil Judge, Sr. Division, Ponda, the notice issued to the petitioner has been returned as unserved, as nobody could identify the address of the petitioner. This report, therefore, indicates that the letter addressed to this Court, on the basis of which cognizance was taken, was an anonymous letter or it was impersonation. It appears that the person by name Yeshwant S. Naik does not exist on the address given in the letter. Though the grievances raised in the letter require to be gone into by this Court and, therefore, the notice was issued, unless the petitioner appears before this Court and elaborates the subject-grievances, nothing further could be done in this petition. Merely saying that all the appointments made by the Government of Goa are against the

guidelines of the Supreme Court and of this Court, in the post of LDCs, is not sufficient to proceed with the petition.

3. We, therefore, reject this petition for non-prosecution. At the same time, we direct the Registry to verify the addresses of such petitioners before their letters are registered as PIL Writ Petitions, in future.

B.H. MARLAPALLE, J.

N.A. BRITTO, J.

ssm